(b) The copies of English version of Trains at a Glance-2001, have been supplied by M/s Adwit India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore.

(c) Copies of Trains at a Glance are supplied to all station bookstall owners, for sale on platform, who place their indent for the same.

Development of Eravipuram Railway Station

2908. SHRI N.K. PREMACHANDRAN: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 2866, given in the Rajya Sabha on the 17th August, 2001 and state:

(a) what were the expected future requirements of development of Eravipuram Railway Station in Kollam;

(b) the reasons for non-implementation of the said programmes even after acquisition of land;

(c) whether the Eravipuram Railway Users' Association has submitted a memorandum to the Minister of State for Railways; and

(d) if so, with what results?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL): (a) The expected future requirements for development of Eravipuram railway station were conversion of the existing Halt Station to Flag Station and related developmental facilities.

(b) to (d) The acquisition of land at Eravipuram was considered necessary alongwith acquisition made during doublings works so that land can be reserved for future developments. Considering the developments taking place at fast pace and the high cost of the land, it would have been difficult to acquire land in future in view of the developments mentioned above.

The memorandum dated 07.07.2001 submitted by Eravipuram Railway Users' Association to Minister of State for Railways was on the subject of "Conversion of Eravipuram Railway Halt into a Flag Station" and the Unstarred Question No. 2866 replied in Rajya Sabha

on 17.08.2001 was on the subject of "Acquisition of land at Eravipuram Railway Station".

The demand made in the memorandum of Eravipuram Railway Users' Association for conversion of Eravipuram Halt Station to Flag Station has been examined and not found viable for the present. The reply to memorandum has already been sent to Shri P. Raghunathan, General Secretary, Eravipuram Railway Users' Association on 11.02.2002.

Compensation for technology transfer in Centre for Biochemical Technology

2909. SHRI A. VIJAYA RAGHAVAN: Will the Minister of SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether any case for compensation for technology transfer have been filed against the Centre for Biochemical Technology (CBT) during the last three years;

(b) if so, the cost for which the above technology has been transferred to the concerned party and the compensation asked by the above party;

(c) whether the above case relates to binding on World Trade Organisation (WTO) conditions on patent after 2005; and

(d) whether the above technology is in accordance with the WTO conditions on patent after 2005?

THE MINISTER OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI): (a) and (b) Centre for Biochemical Technology (CBT), New Delhi, a constituent unit of CSIR, had transferred process know-how for making 4,4' dimethoxytrityl chloride (DMTrCl) and 1H Tetrazole, used as reagents in DNA synthesis to an Indian firm. The process know-how for both was transferred on a lump sum payment of Rs. 3 lakh with a 5% royalty for 5 years in 1995. The firm successfully commenced production and paid royalty for the first two years. For upscaling the process of tetrazole Rs. 10 lakh was agreed in 1997. The firm paid